

**STATA-X ENERGY LTD.  
STATEMENT OF RESERVES AND RESOURCES OTHER  
THAN RESERVES DATA  
AND OTHER OIL AND GAS INFORMATION  
(Form 51-101F1)**

***Part 1 – Date of Statement***

This statement of reserves and resources other than reserves data and other oil and gas information is dated September 9, 2015.

The effective date is June 30, 2015.

The preparation date is September 9, 2015.

## Part 2 – Disclosure of Reserves Data

The following is a summary of the oil and natural gas reserves, and the value of future net revenue of STRATA-X ENERGY LTD. (the "Company") as evaluated by Chapman Petroleum Engineering Ltd. ("Chapman") as at June 30, 2015, and dated September 9, 2015 (the "Chapman Report"). Chapman is an independent qualified reserves evaluator and auditor. The risked and unrisked volumes and values of prospective resources (ROTR) are presented in Appendix A.

All evaluations of future revenue are after the deduction of future income tax expenses, unless otherwise noted in the tables, royalties, development costs, production costs and well abandonment costs but before consideration of indirect costs such as administrative, overhead and other miscellaneous expenses. The estimated future net revenue contained in the following tables does not necessarily represent the fair market value of the Company's reserves. There is no assurance that the forecast price and cost assumptions contained in the Chapman Report will be attained and variances could be material. Other assumptions and qualifications relating to costs and other matters are included in the Chapman Report. The recovery and reserves estimates on the Company's properties described herein are estimates only. The actual reserves on the Company's properties may be greater or less than those calculated.

All monetary values presented in this document are expressed in terms of United States dollars.

### SUMMARY OF OIL AND GAS RESERVES BASED ON FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS AS AT JUNE30, 2015

Reserves Category	Company Reserves <sup>(1)</sup>							
	Light and Medium Oil		Heavy Oil		Natural Gas <sup>(9)</sup>		Natural Gas Liquids	
	Gross MSTB	Net MSTB	Gross MSTB	Net MSTB	Gross MMscf	Net MMscf	Gross Mbbl	Net Mbbl
<b>PROVED</b>								
Developed Producing <sup>(2)(6)</sup>	87	73	0	0	0	0	0	0
Developed Non-Producing <sup>(2)(7)</sup>	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Undeveloped <sup>(2)(8)</sup>	123	105	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL PROVED<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>218</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL PROBABLE<sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>1,610</b>	<b>1,371</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL PROVED + PROBABLE<sup>(2)(3)</sup></b>	<b>1,828</b>	<b>1,555</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**SUMMARY OF NET PRESENT VALUES  
BASED ON FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS  
AS AT JUNE 30, 2015**

Reserves Category	Net Present Values of Future Net Revenue									
	Before Income Tax					After Income Tax				
	Discounted at					Discounted at				
	0%/yr	5%/yr.	10%/yr.	15%/yr.	20%/yr.	0%/yr	5%/yr.	10%/yr.	15%/yr.	20%/yr.
\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	
<b>PROVED</b>										
Developed Producing <sup>(2)(6)</sup>	3,687	3,138	2,724	2,403	2,150	3,687	3,138	2,724	2,403	2,150
Developed Non-Producing <sup>(2)(7)</sup>	112	88	71	58	48	112	88	71	58	48
Undeveloped <sup>(2)(8)</sup>	5,461	4,497	3,774	3,218	2,781	5,461	4,497	3,774	3,218	2,781
<b>TOTAL PROVED<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>9,260</b>	<b>7,723</b>	<b>6,569</b>	<b>5,680</b>	<b>4,980</b>	<b>9,260</b>	<b>7,723</b>	<b>6,569</b>	<b>5,680</b>	<b>4,980</b>
<b>TOTAL PROBABLE<sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>89,143</b>	<b>65,640</b>	<b>50,435</b>	<b>40,057</b>	<b>32,651</b>	<b>57,191</b>	<b>42,987</b>	<b>33,685</b>	<b>27,249</b>	<b>22,591</b>
<b>TOTAL PROVED + PROBABLE<sup>(2)(3)</sup></b>	<b>98,403</b>	<b>73,363</b>	<b>57,004</b>	<b>45,737</b>	<b>37,631</b>	<b>66,451</b>	<b>50,710</b>	<b>40,254</b>	<b>32,929</b>	<b>27,570</b>

**TOTAL FUTURE NET REVENUE  
(UNDISCOUNTED)  
BASED ON FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS  
AS AT JUNE 30, 2015**

	Revenue (\$M)	Royalties (\$M)	Wellhead Taxes (\$M)	Operating Costs (\$M)	Development Costs (\$M)	Abandonment and Reclamation Costs (\$M)	Future Net Revenue Before Income Taxes (\$M)	Income Taxes (\$M)	Future Net Revenue After Income Taxes (\$M)
Total Proved <sup>(2)</sup>	16,053	2,481	131	3,177	885	119	9,260	0	9,260
Total Proved Plus Probable <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	149,268	22,265	1,186	19,503	7,609	302	98,403	(31,952)	66,451

**FUTURE NET REVENUE BY PRODUCTION GROUP  
BASED ON FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS  
AS AT JUNE 30, 2015**

Reserve Category	Production Group	Future Net Revenue Before Income Taxes (Discounted at 10%/Year) (\$M)
Total Proved <sup>(2)</sup>	Light and Medium Oil (including solution gas and other by-products)	6,569
	Heavy Oil (including solution gas and other by-products)	0
	Natural Gas (including by-products but not solution gas)	0
Total Proved Plus Probable <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	Light and Medium Oil (including solution gas and other by-products)	57,004
	Heavy Oil (including solution gas and other by-products)	0
	Natural Gas (including by-products but not solution gas)	0

**OIL AND GAS RESERVES AND NET PRESENT VALUES BY PRODUCTION GROUP  
BASED ON FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS  
AS AT JUNE 30, 2015**

Reserve Group by Category	Reserves						Net Present Value (BIT) 10% M\$	Unit Values @ 10%/yr \$/STB
	Oil		Gas <sup>(9)</sup>		NGL			
	Gross MSTB	Net MSTB	Gross MMscf	Net MMscf	Gross Mbbl	Net Mbbl		
<b>Light and Medium Oil Proved</b>								
Developed Producing	87	73	0	0	0	0	2,724	37.11
Developed Non-Producing	8	6	0	0	0	0	71	11.97
Undeveloped	123	105	0	0	0	0	3,774	35.96
<b>Total Proved</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6,569</b>	<b>35.66</b>
Probable	1,610	1,371	0	0	0	0	50,435	36.78
<b>Proved Plus Probable</b>	<b>1,828</b>	<b>1,555</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57,004</b>	<b>36.65</b>

**Notes:**

- "Gross Reserves" are the Company's working interest (operating or non-operating) share before deducting of royalties and without including any royalty interests of the Company. "Net Reserves" are the Company's working interest (operating or non-operating) share after deduction of royalty obligations, plus the Company's royalty interests in reserves.
- "Proved" reserves are those reserves that can be estimated with a high degree of certainty to be recoverable. It is likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will exceed the estimated proved reserves.
- "Probable" reserves are those additional reserves that are less certain to be recovered than proved reserves. It is equally likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will be greater or less than the sum of the estimated proved plus probable reserves.
- "Possible" reserves are those additional reserves that are less certain to be recovered than probable reserves. It is unlikely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will exceed the sum of the estimated proved plus probable plus possible reserves.
- "Developed" reserves are those reserves that are expected to be recovered from existing wells and installed facilities or, if facilities have not been installed, that would involve a low expenditure (e.g. when compared to the cost of drilling a well) to put the reserves on production.
- "Developed Producing" reserves are those reserves that are expected to be recovered from completion intervals open at the time of the estimate. These reserves may be currently producing or, if shut-in, they must have previously been on production, and the date of resumption of production must be known with reasonable certainty.
- "Developed Non-Producing" reserves are those reserves that either have not been on production, or have previously been on production, but are shut in, and the date of resumption of production is unknown.
- "Undeveloped" reserves are those reserves expected to be recovered from know accumulations where a significant expenditure (for example, when compared to the cost of drilling a well) is required to render them capable of production. They must fully meet the requirements of the reserves classification (proved, probable, possible) to which they are assigned.
- Includes associated, non-associated and solution gas where applicable.

### Part 3 - Pricing Assumptions

The following table detail the benchmark reference prices for the regions in which the Company operated, as at June 30, 2015, reflected in the reserves data disclosed above under “Part 2 – Disclosure of Reserves Data”. The forecast price assumptions assume the continuance of current laws and regulations and take into account inflation with respect to future operating and capital costs. There will be adjustments to field prices from the benchmarks below

**CHAPMAN PETROLEUM ENGINEERING LTD.  
CRUDE OIL  
HISTORICAL, CONSTANT, CURRENT AND FUTURE PRICES**

July 1, 2015

Date	WTI [1] \$US/STB
<b>HISTORICAL PRICES</b>	
2004	41.51
2005	56.64
2006	66.05
2007	72.34
2008	99.67
2009	61.95
2010	79.48
2011	94.88
2012	94.05
2013	97.98
2014	93.12
2015 6 mos	53.20
<b>CONSTANT PRICES (The average of the first-day-of-the-month price for the preceding 12 months-SEC)</b>	
	71.25
<b>FORECAST PRICES</b>	
2015 6 mos	60.00
2016	66.00
2017	73.00
2018	78.00
2019	82.00
2020	86.00
2021	90.00
2022	92.00
2023	94.00
2024	96.00
2025	97.92
2026	99.88
2027	101.88
2028	103.91
2029	105.99
2030	108.11

**Constant thereafter**

Note: [1] West Texas Intermediate quality (D2/S2) crude (40API) landed in Cushing, Oklahoma.

The Company’s weighted average prices received this fiscal year was USD\$66.93/STB.

## Part 4 – Reconciliation of Changes in Reserves

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the changes in the Company's gross reserves as at June 30, 2015 against such reserves as at June 30, 2014 based on the forecast price and cost assumptions:

### RECONCILIATION OF COMPANY GROSS RESERVES BY PRINCIPAL PRODUCT TYPE BASED ON FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS AS AT JUNE 30, 2015

	Light and Medium Oil			Heavy Oil			Associated and Non-Associated Gas		
	Proved (Mbbbl)	Probable (Mbbbl)	Proved Plus Probable (Mbbbl)	Proved (Mbbbl)	Probable (Mbbbl)	Proved Plus Probable (Mbbbl)	Proved (MMscf)	Probable (MMscf)	Proved Plus Probable (MMscf)
At June 30, 2014	113	201	314	-	-	-	-	-	-
Production(Sales)	(15)	0	(15)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Acquisitions	158	1,437	1,595	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dispositions	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Discoveries	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extensions & Improved Recovery	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economic Factors	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Technical Revisions	(38)	(28)	(66)	-	-	-	-	-	-
At June 30, 2015	218	1,610	1,828						

## Part 5 – Additional Information Relating to Reserves Data

### Undeveloped Reserves

The following table sets forth the volumes of proved undeveloped net reserves that were first attributed for each of the Company's product types for the most recent three financial years and in the aggregate before that time:

	Light and Medium Oil (Mbbbl)	Heavy Oil (Mbbbl)	Natural Gas (MMscf)	Natural Gas Liquids (Mbbbl)
Aggregate prior to 2013	0	0	0	0
2013	0	0	0	0
2014	0	0	0	0
2015	123	0	0	0

Proved undeveloped reserves were assigned to identified drilling locations within a mapped oil accumulation which are close to existing wells with logs clearly indicating oil pay. These locations are expected to be drilled in late 2015 and be on production in mid 2016.

The following table sets forth the volumes of probable undeveloped net reserves that were first attributed for each of the Company's product types for the most recent three financial years and in the aggregate before that time:

	Light and Medium Oil (Mbbbl)	Heavy Oil (Mbbbl)	Natural Gas (MMscf)	Natural Gas Liquids (Mbbbl)
Aggregate prior to 2013	112	0	0	0
2013	106	0	0	0

	Light and Medium Oil (Mbbbl)	Heavy Oil (Mbbbl)	Natural Gas (MMscf)	Natural Gas Liquids (Mbbbl)
2014	(97)	0	0	0
2015	1,309	0	0	0

Probable undeveloped reserves were assigned to locations within a mapped oil accumulation where commercial oil production rates are expected but cannot be considered proved. These locations are also expected to be drilled in late 2015 and be on production in 2016.

### ***Significant Factors or Uncertainties***

The estimation of reserves requires significant judgment and decisions based on available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data. These estimates can change substantially as additional information from ongoing development activities and production performance becomes available and as economic and political conditions impact oil and gas prices and costs change. The Company's estimates are based on current production forecast, prices and economic conditions. All of the Company's reserves are evaluated by Chapman Petroleum Engineering Ltd., an independent engineering firm.

As circumstances change and additional data becomes available, reserve estimates also change. Based on new information, reserves estimates are reviewed and revised, either upward or downward, as warranted. Although every reasonable effort has been made by the Company to ensure that reserves estimate are accurate, revisions may arise as new information becomes available. As new geological, production and economic data is incorporated into the process of estimating reserves the accuracy of the reserve estimate improves.

### **Future Development Costs**

The following table shows the development costs anticipated in the next five years, which have been deducted in the estimation of the future net revenues of the proved and probable reserves.

	Total Proved Estimated Using Forecast Prices and Costs (Undiscounted) (\$M)	Total Proved Plus Probable Estimated Using Forecast Prices and Costs (Undiscounted) (\$M)
2015	885	4,308
2016	0	2,550
2017	0	751
2018	0	0
2019	0	0
Total for five years	885	7,609
Remainder	0	0
Total for all years	885	7,609

The ability of the Company to continue as a going concern and to realize the carrying value of its proved and probable reserves and discharge its liabilities when due depends on the success of the Company's exploration and development of its oil and gas properties, as well as the ability of the Company to obtain additional financing or equity to fund the exploration and development of those oil and gas properties. For more information regarding the Company continuing as a going concern please see Fiscal Year 2015 Annual Reports.

## Part 6 – Other Oil and Gas Information

### Oil and Gas Properties and Wells

The following table sets forth the number of wells in which the Company held a working interest as at June 30, 2015:

	Oil		Natural Gas	
	Gross <sup>(1)</sup>	Net <sup>(2)</sup>	Gross <sup>(1)</sup>	Net <sup>(2)</sup>
F&I Field, Vallecitos Area, California				
Producing	3	0.7	-	-
Non-producing	1	0.2	-	-
Blue Spruce Water flood Area, Illinois				
Producing	1	1.0	-	-
Non-producing	0	0	-	-
Xenia Field, Illinois				
Producing	3	2.8	-	-
Non-producing	2	1.6	-	-
Wayne County, Illinois (Copper Mountain Project)				
Producing	1	1.0	-	-
Non-producing	0	0	-	-
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

[1] Total number of wells in which the Company has a working interest.

[2] Total number of wells in which the Company has a working interest multiplied by the Company working interest in each well.

The Company's wells are located onshore in the Vallecitos area of San Benito County, California and in Clay and Wayne Counties, Illinois, USA.

There are three wells producing in the Vallecitos area from the Domengine-Yukot sandstone.

There are three wells producing in the Xenia field, Illinois. One of the wells is currently producing from the Carper/Lingle formation, the second one is currently producing from the Salem and Carper formations and the third one is currently producing from Lingle formation.

There is one well producing from the Grand Tower formation within the Copper Mountain Project area in Wayne County, Illinois.

There is one well producing from Aux Vases formation in the Blue Spruce Area in Wayne County, Illinois.



### **Properties with No Attributed Reserves**

The Company is currently reviewing the following projects that have No Attributed Reserves which are the Maverick County, Texas, USA – Maverick Oil Project; North Dakota, USA – Sleeping Giant Gas Project and the Canning Basin, Australia exploration permit. More information on the exploration and development plans for these projects can be found in the Company's Fiscal Year 2015 Annual Reports and MD&A. Information concerning prospective resources (ROTR) for the Vail Project in Illinois is presented in Appendix A to this letter.

### **Forward Contracts**

Currently, the Company has no forward contracts.

### **Tax Horizon**

The Company is not expected to become taxable in the Total Proved case. The Company expected to become taxable in 2018 and remain taxable thereafter under the proved plus probable cash flows forecast in this report.

### **Costs Incurred**

The following table summarizes the capital expenditures made by the Company on oil and natural gas properties for the year ended June 30, 2015

Property Acquisition Costs (\$M)		Exploration Costs (\$M)	Development Costs (\$M)
Proved Properties	Unproved Properties		
-	1,903	353	126

### **Exploration and Development Activities**

The following table sets forth the number of exploratory and development wells which the Company completed during its 2015 financial year:

	Exploratory Wells		Development Wells	
	Gross <sup>(1)</sup>	Net <sup>(2)</sup>	Gross <sup>(1)</sup>	Net <sup>(2)</sup>
Oil Wells	1	1	0	0
Gas Wells	0	0	0	0
Service Wells	0	0	1	1
Dry Holes	0	0	0	0
Total Completed Wells	1	1	0	0

[1] Total number of wells in which the Company has a working interest.

[2] Total number of wells in which the Company has a working interest multiplied by the Company working interest in each well.

*For more information regarding the Company's future Exploration and Development plans please see the Company's Fiscal Year 2015 Annual Reports.*

## Production Estimates

The following table sets forth the volume of production estimated by Chapman for 2015 (6 mos.):

<b>TOTAL PROVED RESERVES</b>				
<b>AREA</b>	<b>Light and Medium Oil (Mbbbl)</b>	<b>Heavy Oil (Mbbbl)</b>	<b>Natural Gas (MMscf)</b>	<b>Natural Gas Liquids (Mbbbl)</b>
Eagle Field, California	0	0	0	0
F&I Field, Vallecitos, CA	0.8	0	0	0
Blue Spruce Waterflood Area, IL	9.8			
Copper Mountain Project, Wayne County, IL	0.7	0	0	0
Xenia Field, Illinois	5.4	0	0	0
<b>Total for all areas</b>	<b>16.7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE RESERVES</b>				
<b>AREA</b>	<b>Light and Medium Oil (Mbbbl)</b>	<b>Heavy Oil (Mbbbl)</b>	<b>Natural Gas (MMscf)</b>	<b>Natural Gas Liquids (Mbbbl)</b>
Eagle Field, California	0	0	0	0
F&I Field, Vallecitos, CA	0.8	0	0	0
Blue Spruce Waterflood Area, IL	10.0			
Copper Mountain Project, Wayne County, IL	1.4	0	0	0
Xenia Field, Illinois	7.3	0	0	0
<b>Total for all areas</b>	<b>19.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

These values are gross to Company's working interest before the deduction of royalties payable to others.

## Production History

The following table sets forth certain information in respect of production, product prices received, royalties, production costs and netbacks received by the Company for each quarter of its most recently completed financial year:

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2014</b>	<b>Three Months Ended December 31, 2014</b>	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2015</b>	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2015</b>
<b>Average Daily Production</b>				
Light and Medium Oil (Bbl/d)	31.7	116.8	24.7	18.0
Natural Gas (Mscf/d)	-	-	-	-
<b>Average Net Prices Received</b>				
Light and Medium Oil (\$/Bbl)	\$ 92.09	\$ 73.27	\$ 45.60	\$ 55.06
Natural Gas (\$/Mscf)	-	-	-	-
<b>Royalties</b>				
Light and Medium Oil (\$/Bbl)	\$ 14.26	\$ 11.71	\$ 7.45	\$ 8.51
Natural Gas (\$/Mscf)	-	-	-	-
<b>Production Costs</b>				
Light and Medium Oil (\$/Bbl)	\$ 20.63	\$ 17.99	\$ 30.91	\$ 28.94
Natural Gas (\$/Mscf)	-	-	-	-
<b>Netback Received</b>				
Light and Medium Oil (\$/Bbl)	\$ 57.20	\$ 43.57	\$ 7.45	\$ 17.61
Natural Gas (\$/Mscf)	-	-	-	-

## Part 7 – Disclosure of Prospective Resources Data

The following disclosure has been prepared subject to Sections 5.9 and 5.17 of NI 51 -101.

### Appendix A. Disclosure of Prospective Resources Data – Lingle Project area, Illinois, USA

An estimate of risked net present value of future net revenue of prospective resources is preliminary in nature and is provided to assist the reader in reaching an opinion on the merit and likelihood of the company proceeding with the required investment. It includes prospective resources that are considered too uncertain with respect to the chance of discovery and development to be classified as reserves. There is uncertainty that the risked net present value of future net revenue will be realized.

#### SUMMARY OF OIL AND GAS PROSPECTIVE RESOURCES BASED ON FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS AS AT JUNE30, 2015

Resource Category	Company Prospective Resources <sup>(1)</sup>							
	Light and Medium Oil		Heavy Oil		Natural Gas <sup>(9)</sup>		Natural Gas Liquids	
	Gross MSTB	Net MSTB	Gross MSTB	Net MSTB	Gross MMscf	Net MMscf	Gross Mbbbl	Net Mbbbl
<b>Total Prospect Best Estimate <sup>(2)</sup></b>	14,280	12,138	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Prospect Low Estimate <sup>(3)</sup></b>	10,000	8,500	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Prospect High Estimate <sup>(4)</sup></b>	18,500	15,725	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Arithmetic Average Before Risk</b>	<b>14,260</b>	<b>12,121</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Arithmetic Average After Risk</b>	<b>3,137</b>	<b>2,667</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### SUMMARY OF NET PRESENT VALUES BASED ON FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS AS AT JUNE 30, 2015

Net Present Values of Future Net Revenue

Resource Category	Before Income Tax				
	Discounted at				
	0%/yr \$M	5%/yr. \$M	10%/yr. \$M	15%/yr. \$M	20%/yr. \$M
<b>Total Prospect Best Estimate <sup>(2)</sup></b>	593,315	402,919	284,095	206,671	154,325
<b>Total Prospect Low Estimate <sup>(3)</sup></b>	313,203	195,027	125,352	82,452	55,046
<b>Total Prospect High Estimate <sup>(4)</sup></b>	869,914	604,489	436,222	324,849	248,374
<b>Arithmetic Average Before Risk</b>	<b>592,144</b>	<b>400,812</b>	<b>281,890</b>	<b>204,657</b>	<b>152,582</b>
<b>Arithmetic Average After Risk</b>	<b>124,422</b>	<b>82,329</b>	<b>56,166</b>	<b>39,175</b>	<b>27,718</b>

#### Notes:

1. "Gross Prospective Resources" are the Company's working interest (operating or non-operating) share before deducting of royalties and without including any royalty interests of the Company. "Net Prospective resources" are the Company's working interest (operating or non-operating) share after deduction of royalty obligations, plus the Company's royalty interests in Prospective Resources.

2. "Best Estimate" is considered to be the best estimate of the quantity that will actually be recovered. It is equally likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will be greater or less than the best estimate. If probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 50 percent probability (P50) that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the best estimate.
3. "Low Estimate" is considered to be a conservative estimate of the quantity that will actually be recovered. It is likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will exceed the low estimate. If probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 90 percent probability (P90) that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the low estimate.
4. "High Estimate" is considered to be an optimistic estimate of the quantity that will actually be recovered. It is unlikely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will exceed the high estimate. If probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 10 percent probability (P10) that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the high estimate.

The Chance of Commerciality has been estimated to be 22 percent consisting of a 25 percent probability of geological success and a 90 percent probability of commercial development, if discovered

The forecast prices and costs used in the evaluation of prospective resources are identical to the values presented in Part 3 Pricing assumptions of the reserves section of this letter.

## ABBREVIATIONS AND CONVERSION

In this document, the abbreviations set forth below have the following meanings:

<b>Oil and Natural Gas Liquids</b>		<b>Natural Gas</b>	
Bbl	barrel	Mscf	thousand standard cubic feet
Bbls	barrels	MMscf	million standard cubic feet
Mbbls	thousand barrels	Mscf/d	thousand standard cubic feet per day
MMbbls	million barrels	MMscf/d	million standard cubic feet per day
MSTB	1,000 stock tank barrels	MMBTU	million British Thermal Units
Bbls/d	barrels per day	Bscf	billion standard cubic feet
NGLs	natural gas liquids	GJ	gigajoule
STB	stock tank barrels of oil		
STB/d	stock tank barrels of oil per day		
<b>Other</b>			
AECO	Niska Gas Storage's natural gas storage facility located at Suffield, Alberta.		
BIT	Before Income Tax		
AIT	After Income Tax		
BOE	barrel of oil equivalent on the basis of 1 BOE to 6 Mscf of natural gas. BOEs may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. A BOE conversion ratio of 1 BOE for 6 Mscf is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead.		
BOE/d	barrel of oil equivalent per day		
m <sup>3</sup>	cubic metres		
\$M	thousands of dollars		
WTI	West Texas Intermediate, the reference price paid in U.S. dollars at Cushing, Oklahoma for crude oil of standard grade		

# **Chapman Petroleum Engineering Ltd.**

1122 - 4th Street S.W., Suite 700, Calgary, Alberta T2R 1M1 • Phone: (403) 266-4141 • Fax: (403) 266-4259 • www.chapeng.ab.ca

September 3, 2015

**Strata-X Energy Ltd.**  
2080,777 Hornby Street  
Vancouver, BC  
V6Z 1S4

**Attention: Board of Directors**

**Re: Report on Reserves Data and Prospective Resources Data  
by Chapman Petroleum Engineering Ltd. ("Chapman")  
Qualified Reserves Evaluators**

To the board of directors of Strata-X Energy Ltd. (the "Company"):

1. We have evaluated the Company's reserves data and prospective resources data as at June 30, 2015. The reserves data are estimates of proved reserves and probable reserves and related future net revenue as at June 30, 2015, estimated using forecast prices and costs.  
The prospective resources data are risked estimates of the volume of prospective resources.
2. The reserves data and prospective resources data are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the reserves and resources data based on our evaluation.
3. We carried out our evaluation in accordance with standards set out in the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook as amended from time to time (the "COGE Handbook") maintained by the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers (Calgary Chapter).
4. Those standards require that we plan and perform an evaluation to obtain reasonable assurance as to whether the reserves data are free of material misstatement. An evaluation also includes assessing whether the reserves data are in accordance with principles and definitions presented in the COGE Handbook.
5. The following table sets forth the estimated future net revenue (before deduction of income taxes) attributed to proved plus probable reserves, estimated using forecast prices and costs and

calculated using a discount of 10 percent, included in the reserves data of the Company evaluated by us for the year ended June 30, 2015, and identifies the respective portions thereof that we have evaluated and reported on to the Company's management and board of directors:

Independent Qualified Reserves Evaluator	Effective date of Evaluation Report	Location of Reserves	Net Present Value of Future Net Revenue (before income taxes, 10% discount rate) - M\$			
			Audited	Evaluated	Reviewed	Total
Chapman Petroleum Engineering Ltd.	June 30, 2015	California and Illinois, USA	-	56,041	-	56,041
Totals			-	56,041	-	56,041

6. The following table sets forth the risked volume of prospective resources included in the Company's statement prepared in accordance with Form 51-101F1 and identifies the respective portions of the prospective resources data that we have evaluated and reported on to the Company's board of directors:

Classification	Independent Qualified Reserves Evaluator	Effective date of Evaluation Report	Location of Resources Other than Reserves	Risked Volume MSTB
Prospective Resources	Chapman Petroleum Engineering Ltd.	June 30, 2015	Illinois, USA	3,137

7. In our opinion, the reserves data and prospective resources other than reserves data respectively evaluated by us have, in all material respects, been determined and are in accordance with the COGE Handbook, consistently applied. We express no opinion on the reserves data that we reviewed but did not audit or evaluate.
8. We have no responsibility to update our reports referred to in paragraph 4 for events and circumstances occurring after June 30, 2015.
9. Because the reserves data and prospective resources data are based on judgements regarding future events, actual results will vary and the variations may be material.

10. Technical reserve information contained herein is based on the information compiled by C.W. Chapman, Principal Engineer at Chapman Petroleum Engineering Ltd. Mr. Chapman has over 40 years experience in the petroleum industry and is a registered member of Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and is qualified as a Competent Person under JORC Code. Mr. Chapman consents to the inclusion in this document of the matters based on the information, in the form and context in which it appears on this letter dated September 1, 2015 titled "Report on Reserves and Prospective Resources Data" by Chapman Petroleum Engineering Ltd. ("Chapman") – Qualified Reserves Evaluators.

Executed as to our report referred to above:

[Original Signed By:]

Chapman, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, September 3, 2015

C. W. Chapman  
C. W. Chapman, P. Eng.

kk/kk/6153



# Strata-X Energy Ltd.

1550 Larimer St #263  
Denver, CO 80202 USA  
Tel: (720) 463-2403

## FORM 51-101F3 REPORT OF MANAGEMENT AND DIRECTORS ON RESERVES DATA AND OTHER INFORMATION

Management of Strata-X Energy Ltd. (“the Company”) are responsible for the preparation and disclosure of information with respect to the Company’s oil and gas activities in accordance with securities regulatory requirements. This information includes reserves data, which are estimates of proved reserves and probable reserves and related future net revenue as at June 30, 2015, estimated using forecast prices and costs.

An independent qualified reserves evaluator has evaluated the Company’s reserves data. The report of independent qualified reserves evaluator will be filed with securities regulatory authorities concurrently with this report.

The board of directors of the Company has

- (a) Reviewed the Company’s procedures for providing information to the independent qualified reserves evaluator;
- (b) Met with the independent qualified reserves evaluator to determine whether any restrictions affected the ability of the independent qualified reserves evaluator to report without reservation; and
- (c) Reviewed the reserves data with management and the independent qualified reserves evaluator.

The board of directors has reviewed the Company’s procedures for assembling and reporting other information associated with oil and gas activities and has reviewed that information with management.

The board of directors has approved

- (a) The content and filing with securities regulatory authorities of Form 51-101F1 containing the reserves data and other oil and gas information;
- (b) The filing of Form 51-101F2 which is the report of the independent qualified reserves evaluator on the reserves data; and
- (c) The content and filing of this report.

Because the reserves data are based on judgments regarding future events, actual results will vary and the variations may be material.

(SIGNATURE PAGE TO FOLLOW)

September 15, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy Hoops, President, CEO and Director

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David Hettich, CFO

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy Bradley, Director

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Dennis Nerland, Director